

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 133 638

CG 010 979

AUTHOR Dean, Raymond S.
TITLE Comparison of PIAT Validity with Anglo and Mexican-American Children.
PUB DATE May 76
NOTE 5p.; Paper resented at the annual convention of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association (Phoenix, Arizona, May 1976)
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Achievement Tests; *Anglo Americans; *Children; Correlation; Gross Cultural Studies; *Educational Diagnosis; Intelligence Tests; Learning Difficulties; *Mexican Americans; Psychoeducational Processes; Psychological Testing; Spanish Speaking; Statistical Analysis; *Test Validity; *Validity
IDENTIFIERS *Peabody Individual Achievement Test

ABSTRACT

This study sought to determine the convergent and discriminant validity of the PIAT when administered to separate samples of Anglo and Mexican-American children. Thirty-one Mexican-American and 31 Anglo-American children were matched on the basis of sex, age, SES, educational placement, and reason referred for psychological assessment. All children were administered the PIAT, Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT), and Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (WISC-R). Partial intercorrelations (CA held constant) among the PIAT subtest raw scores and subtests of the WRAT and WISC-R purporting to measure the same construct were performed. Adequate convergent validity was found for the PIAT Mathematics, General Information, Spelling, and Reading Recognition subtests for both Anglo and Mexican-American children. Poor discriminant validity was obtained for all PIAT subtests evaluated. It was concluded that the PIAT may be of value when used in conjunction with the WISC-R to differentiate between specific learning difficulties and an English language deficiency with Mexican-American populations. (Author)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

Comparison of PIAT Validity
With Anglo and Mexican-American Children

Raymond S. Dean*

Arizona State University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

The interpretations of tests administered to members of minority populations pose a special problem for the clinical diagnostican. When a test is standardized on children in the educational mainstream, its usefulness is debatable with children who are culturally different (Deutsch, Fishman, Kogan, North, & Whiteman, 1964). For example, the Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT) (Dunn & Markwardt, 1970) is an achievement screening instrument which includes only 4.3 percent minority children in its standardization sample. Obviously, interpretation of Mexican-American children's performance on the PIAT requires knowledge of the instrument's validity with this culturally different population. Unfortunately, due to the recent publication of the PIAT, little evidence is available regarding this issue. The present study sought to determine the convergent and discriminant validity of the PIAT when administered to separate samples of Anglo and Mexican-American children. The Campbell & Fiske (1959) model was used to compare the PIAT subtests with corresponding subtests of the Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT) (Jastak, Bijou, & Jastak, 1965) and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (WISC-R).

In the present study, 31 Mexican-American and 31 Anglo-American children were matched on the basis of sex, age, SES, educational placement, and reason referred for psychological assessment. All children were administered the PIAT, WRAT, and WISC-R. Partial intercorrelations among the PIAT subtest raw scores and subtests of the WRAT and WISC-R purporting to measure the same construct were performed.

Adequate convergent validity of the PIAT Mathematics, General Information, Spelling, and Reading Recognition subtests was found for both Anglo and Mexican-American children. The differences between the two groups' validity coefficients were not found to be significant ($\alpha = .05$). Poor discriminant validity was found for all the PIAT subtests evaluated. The Anglo-American group scored significantly better on the mathematics subtest of both the PIAT and WRAT. The mean verbal scale IQ score (WISC-R) for the Anglo children was significantly ($p < .05$) above that found for Mexican-American children.

Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Phoenix, May, 1976.

*The author is affiliated with the Laboratory for the Study of Human Intellectual Processes, Department of Educational Psychology.

The implications of these data are: (A) the ability of the PIAT to differentiate constructs that individual subtests purport to measure over the method in which they were measured was inadequate for both Mexican and Anglo-American groups; (B) the PIAT taken in its entirety may be of value to the clinical diagnostician in ascertaining gross discrepancies between intelligence and achievement; (C) although the reason for psychological assessment was the same for both groups, the data suggests more of an English language deficiency than a specific learning difficulty for the Mexican-American children.

Table 1
Partial Intercorrelations Among the Various Subtests

Subtest	Anglo-American										Subtest
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1. PIAT MA		.40	-.13	.17	.66	.24	.41	.19	-.06	(.69)	1. WISC-R AR
2. PIAT RR	.58		-.06	-.28	.11	(.76)	.25	.18	-.14	.45	2. WISC-R I
3. PIAT RC	.60	.88		.51	.35	-.06	(.77)	.58	.39	.31	3. WRAT SP
4. PIAT SP	.62	.72	.74		.42	.31	.70	.73	(.84)	.39	4. WRAT RE
5. PIAT GI	.61	.62	.75	.60		-.28	.40	-.07	.15	(.64)	5. WRAT AR
6. WRAT AR	(.71)	.50	-.10	-.25	.08		.38	.26	.19	.56	6. PIAT GI
7. WRAT RE	.50	(.88)		.72	.32	.64		.59	.75	.72	7. PIAT SP
8. WRAT SP	.21	.69	.50	(.78)	.18	.55	.72		.69	.62	8. PIAT RD
9. WISC-R I	.68	.48	.63	.61	(.89)	.07	-.32	-.15		.46	9. PIAT RR
10. WISC-R AR	(.89)	.59	.60	.68	.58	.73	-.03	-.24	.73		10. PIAT MA
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

Mexican-American